The Evolution of Historical Genre in Latin Literature: from Hellenization in Rome to the Historiography of Tacitus

Abstract

On one hand, a historian must penetrate the causality of events and must search for the truth; in the other hand, his principal theory professes also historia ornata: write beautifully what is beautiful. As a result, it is from the age of Cicero that Roman historiography reached its peak for the first time. In addition, Roman historiography bloomed gradually thanks to historians coming from the following generations: Sallustius, Titus Livius and Tacitus. They are all famous through their art works, while Roman history became a scientific genre in Latin literature: it is educational and always teaches morals lessons to distinguish the virtues and the vices through the historical examples. We would like to conclusion the writing styles which link humanity and society by two functions: education and romanocentrism.
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Introduction

- A definition of Roman historiography in Latin literature
- A timeline of Roman historiography from 3rd century to 1st century before our age
- A list of mainly Romans historians in this interval
- analysis of theirs writing styles.

Results

As a result, Latin historiography was not born by chance. As the birth of Latin literature, it had suffered for a long time a deep influence of Greek historical thinking before reaching to its peak. The influence of the Hellenization shouldn’t neither be exaggerated nor be underestimated. On the one hand, the Latin literature fed, from the 3rd century before J.-C., of new Greek literary genres, which was so poplar for the Roman people, who enjoyed the Greek comedies and tragedies, as classic for elites like the philhellenes and the “Scriptions”; On the other hand, as it seems like, the Greek thought from long time that Rome was a city Greek, the first generation of poets who lived in the age of Republic. But, Cicero, in his De legibus, denied that there was a true history nor a true historian in the age of Republic before his time. He required that a historian not only have to seek for the historical truth but must search for the causality of events. After him, historical writing became a science which is worthy of Roman people's memory: Sallustius searched a height soul of Roman people by taking the paradoxical example of Catilina; Titus Livius composed his monumental artwork in order to remind, or well restore the old moral; as for Tacitus, he was satisfied to make praise the romans virtutes, he preferred to penetrate in the field of historical matter by observation, in order to offer his lectors a panoramic vision of “romanocentrism”.

Discussion

In conclusion, we can see how the roman history perfect over time and how Cicero's theory spread in the whole Latin historiography. Historiography – which its aim doesn’t consist of searching the true history in our century – takes an important place in Rome. It represents the thinking through an observation of ancient society and always keeps the testimonies of pass which was worthy of human memory for that we will not lose in the flow of time.
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Materials & Method

In order to carry out this study of the evolution of Roman historiography, we decide to establish a timeline of mainly historians from the 3rd century before our age to the age of Roman empire. In addition, all of thinking and idea about Roman historiography is concluded directly from ancient books of those Roman historians. As far as the book edition, we would like to chose the edition of Belles-Lettres a priori.
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